BESIII $\pi^+\pi^-/\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ISR

Yaqian WANG

(on behalf of the BESIII colaboration)

Johannes Gutenburg University Mainz

The 10th International Workshop on e^+e^- collisions from ϕ to ψ
23-26 September, 2015
Hefei, China

Outline

Outline

$g-2$

- $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$
- $a_\mu^{\text{expe}} = 116592080 \pm 54 \pm 33 \times 10^{-11}$ at BNL
- $a_\mu^{\text{theo}} = 116591802 \pm 42 \pm 26 \times 10^{-11}$
- $a_\mu^{\text{expe}} - a_\mu^{\text{theo}} = (28.7 \pm 80) \times 10^{-11} \quad \Rightarrow 3.6\sigma$ deviation



M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu and Z. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** 1515 (2011)

Hadronic VP and muon $g-2$

- Hadronic vacuum polarization





- $a_\mu^{\rm SM} = (\frac{g-2}{2})_\mu = a_\mu^{\rm QED} + a_\mu^{\rm had} + a_\mu^{\rm weak}$

γ and leptonic

Z, $W^\pm$, and Higgs

$$a_\mu^{\rm had,LO} = \frac{\alpha^2(0)}{3\pi^2}\int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} {\rm d}s \frac{K(s)}{s} R(s)$$

Hadronic VP and muon $g-2$

- Hadronic vacuum polarization



- $a_\mu^{\rm SM} = (\frac{g-2}{2})_\mu = a_\mu^{\rm QED} + a_\mu^{\rm had} + a_\mu^{\rm weak}$

γ and leptonic

Z, $W^\pm$, and Higgs

$$a_\mu^{\rm had,LO} = \frac{\alpha^2(0)}{3\pi^2}\int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} {\rm d}s \frac{K(s)}{s} R(s) \longrightarrow \frac{\sigma(e^+e^-\to {\rm hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^-\to \mu^+\mu^-)}$$

Hadronic VP and muon $g-2$

- Hadronic vacuum polarization



- $a_\mu^{\rm SM} = (\frac{g-2}{2})_\mu = a_\mu^{\rm QED} + a_\mu^{\rm had} + a_\mu^{\rm weak}$

γ and leptonic

Z, $W^\pm$, and Higgs



$$a_\mu^{\rm had,LO} = \frac{\alpha^2(0)}{3\pi^2}\int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} {\rm d}s \frac{K(s)}{s} R(s) \longrightarrow \frac{\sigma(e^+e^-\to {\rm hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^-\to \mu^+\mu^-)}$$

ISR measurement at BaBar



D. Bernard [BaBar Collaboration], PoS Hadron **2013**, 126 (2013) [arXiv:1402.0618 [hep-ex]].

- Most important channels: $\pi^+\pi^-$, KK, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$
- Largest contribution to uncertainty: $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$, $KK\pi\pi$

Outline

BEPCII

- τ-charm factory
- Beam energy: 2 - 4.6 GeV
- Design luminosity: 10^{33} cm$^{-2}s^{-1}$ (at 3.773 GeV)
- Linac + double storage ring



BESIII Detector



Data samples



Data samples



Outline

$\pi^+\pi^-$ at BaBar and KLOE



- Obvious discrepancy between BaBar and KLOE
- High precision measurement @ BESIII

Event Selection and Particle Identification

- Kinematic Fit for $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma_{ISR}$
- MDC, TOF, and EMC for electron rejection
- Artificial Neuronal Network for $\mu - \pi$ separation







Systematic Uncertainties

Source	Uncertainty (%)
Photon efficiency	0.2
Tracking efficiency	0.3
Pion ANN efficiency	0.2
Pion e-PID efficiency	0.2
Angular acceptance	0.1
Background subtraction	0.1
Unfolding	0.2
FSR correction δ_{FSR}	0.2
Vacuum polarization correction δ_{vac}	0.2
Radiator function	0.5
Luminosity $\mathcal{L}$	0.5
Sum	**0.9**

$\pi^+\pi^-$ Cross Section



- $\sigma^{\textbf{bare}}(\sqrt{s'}) = \frac{1}{\frac{2\sqrt{s'}}{s} W(s,x)\epsilon(\sqrt{s'})\mathcal{L}\delta_{vac}\delta_{FSR}} \frac{dN}{d\sqrt{s'}}$
- ρ-ω interference clearly visible

$\pi^+\pi^-$ Form Factor (Gounaris-Sakurai Parameterization)



Parameter	BESIII value	PDG 2014
$m_\rho\,[\mathrm{MeV}/c^2]$	774.8 ± 0.4	775.26 ± 0.25
$\Gamma_\rho\,[\mathrm{MeV}]$	151.1 ± 0.7	147.8 ± 0.9
$m_\omega\,[\mathrm{MeV}/c^2]$	782.1 ± 0.6	782.65 ± 0.12
$\Gamma_\omega\,[\mathrm{MeV}]$	fixed to PDG	8.49 ± 0.08
$\lvert c_\rho \rvert\,[10^{-3}]$	1.7 ± 0.2	-
$\lvert \phi_\omega \rvert\,[\mathrm{rad}]$	0.04 ± 0.13	-

Comparison to Other $\pi^+\pi^-$ Measurements







- New BESIII measurement agrees with KLOE and BaBar
- Small shift wrt. BaBar above ρ-ω interference

Final Result: Contribution to $a_\mu^{\text{VP,LO}}$



- Precision competitive with previous measurements
- BESIII measurement between BaBar and KLOE
- $a_\mu^{\pi\pi,\text{LO}}(600-900\,\text{MeV}) = (370.0 \pm 2.5_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.3_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$
- Confirms deviation of 3.4σ between experiment and theory
- arXiv:1507.08188 and submitted to PLB

Outline

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

- History of σ for $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$:
  - $\sqrt{s} \lesssim 1$ GeV: $\omega(782)$ and $\phi(1020)$
  - Published results above ϕ :
    - SND : up to 1.4 GeV
    - DM2 : $1.34 \sim 2.40$ GeV
    - BaBar : $1.05 \sim 3.00$ GeV



ω



ϕ



ω' and ω''

Belle and SND



$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ from Belle



$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ from SND

$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ at BESIII





$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ at BESIII



- Tagged is necessary in low mass range

$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ at BESIII



- Tagged is necessary in low mass range
- Untagged is more efficient in high mass range

$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ at BESIII





- Tagged is necessary in low mass range
- Untagged is more efficient in high mass range
- Both tagged and untagged are feasible at BESIII. Our goal: $< 5\%$

Outline

Summary

- $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
  - Cross section is measured at BESIII with sys. below 1%
  - Δa_μ is confirmed
- $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
  - Feasible study at BESIII
  - Benefit from both tagged and untagged
- Outlook
  - Extend tagged $\pi^+\pi^-$ ISR study to threshold region
  - Untagged ISR for $\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section at higher mass range
  - Analyze $\pi^+\pi^-$ form factor from R-scan data (130 points, $\mathcal{L} \approx 1.3\text{fb}^{-1}$)
  - Ongoing Analysis of $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

Thank you very much!

Theoretical calculation of a_μ

$$a_\mu^{theo} = a_\mu^{\text{QED}} + a_\mu^{\text{weak}} + a_\mu^{\text{QCD}}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{QED}} = (116584718.104 \pm 0.148) \times 10^{-11}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{weak}} = (153.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-11}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{QCD}} = a_\mu^{\text{LbL}} + a_\mu^{\text{VP,LO}} + a_\mu^{\text{VP,HO}}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{VP,LO}} = (6949.1 \pm 42.7) \times 10^{-11}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{VP,HO}} = (-97.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-11}$$

$$a_\mu^{\text{LbL}} = (105 \pm 26) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(Glasgow consensus)}$$